

Index of Exhibits and OVERVIEW. FCC Cabal. DRAFT 8/28/2026 1500

AS WILDFIRES RAGE, CABAL AT FCC MAKES UP ITS OWN RULES.

REAL FCC RULES ARE IGNORED AND EXISTENCE DENIED.

PUBLIC SAFETY AND LIVES AT RISK.

CALL FOR CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION.

SHINGLETOWN EMERGENCY RADIO (SER) – EXAMPLES OF PLAN DOCUMENTATION.	
BACKGROUND: SER Plan (all volunteer) is a structured and disciplined Emergency Radio Operations Plan coordinating communications between Neighborhood MURS Channels and Ham Radio Channels (Repeaters). There are not enough HAMS due to the actions of the Prior President of the Local Ham Club who initiated a Ham Boycott of the Plan after the October 2020 Fire Net (2 fires in Shingletown at the same time). He then continued his destructive efforts by interfering with the Core Neighborhood Murs Channel. On information and belief this same Ham is behind the current FCC complaint. That Ham's Local Ham Club has NO emergency communication plan for Shingletown. The SER Plan is the only known operating Radio Emergency Communication Plan for Shingletown with SELECT operators using best efforts to monitor 24/7.	
Exhibit AA	Village 2026 FIRE
Exhibit A	SER – FIRE NET TACTICALS – (FIRE NET STRUCTURE)
Exhibit B	SER – MOBILE RADIO EVACUATIONPROCEDURES - OVERVIEW
Exhibit C	California Governor's Office of Emergency Services –white paper 3/5/2019. Evacuation Order: "Immediate threat to life. This is a lawful order to leave now. The area is lawfully closed to public access"
Exhibit D	SER – AREA FIRE NET ACTIVATION CRITERIA – VILLAGE (EACH AREA/NEIGHBORHOOD CONSIDER FOR YOUR AREA) (EACH AREA CH 1- YOUR AREA MURS)
Exhibit E	SER – Emergency Communication Protocol – Non Hams (updated draft 12/17/2020) – Provided to FCC and FCC Legal Counsel more than 5 years ago). See Exhibit M, pages 11 and 12. PROTOCOL PROVIDES IN PART: "IN EMERGENCY SITUATIONS INVOLVING "ESSENTIAL COMMUNICATION NEEDS IN CONNECTION WITH THE IMMEDIATE SAFETY OF HUMAN LIFE AND IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF PROPERTY" – if there are not enough Ham stations to help, the SER Plan will use Non Hams on Ham frequencies to facilitate the Shingletown Emergency Radio Plan. FCC Rule 97.403 further advises that the above applies "when normal communication systems are not available." During such emergency SER Net activations (e.g., FIRE NETs, evacuations from wildfires, major earthquakes, etc...), "normal communications systems" ARE, IN THE OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL, factually "not available". Discussion follows. ... "DURING OCTOBER, 2020, PG&E DID A POWER "SAFETY" SHUT OFF DURING A PERIOD OF HIGH FIRE DANGER. THIS RESULTED IN LOSS OF ELECTRICAL POWER TO ALL OF SHINGLETOWN WHILE AN ACTIVATED ONGOING EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS FIRE NET WAS OPERATING DUE TO TWO (2) WILDLAND FIRES CONCURRENTLY BURNING (AT BOTH THE EAST AND WEST SIDES OF SHINGLETOWN). STATIONS USED BATTERY AND OTHER EMERGENCY BACK UP POWER TO CONTINUE THE EMERGENCY FIRE NET. ALSO, THERE ARE NOT ENOUGH HAM OPERATORS TO FULLFILL ALL OF THE COMMUNICATION TASKS ESSENTIAL TO SER EMERGENCY NET OPERATIONS." "SUCH COMBINATION OF FACTORS, THE CONDITIONS EXISTING IN SHINGLETOWN (RUGGED TERRAIN, ETC...) COMBINED WITH THE RAPID AND EXPLOSIVE SPEED OF TRAVEL OF WILDFIRES IN CALIFORNIA, (WITH DEADLY CONSEQUENCES TO RESIDENTS) FACTUALLY RENDERS "NORMAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS" AS BEING "UNAVAILABLE" DURING THESE TYPES OF EMERGENCIES. ACCORDINGLY, NON HAMS WILL BE UTILIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH FCC GUIDELINES. IN THE EVENT A HAM BECOMES AVAILABLE, THE NON HAM WOULD BE DEACTIVATED AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE. THIS IS BOTH FOLLOWING THE FCC RULES AND THE SPIRIT BEHIND THOSE RULES. ADDITIONALLY, FOLLOW SER PROCEDURES SO THE SYSTEM CAN FUNCTION PROPERLY. K6PDS" (Emphasis added). SER WAS NEVER ADVISED BY THE FCC or FCC LEGAL COUNSEL AS TO ANY DISAGREEMENT WITH THIS EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL .

FCC IN YEAR 2026 RESUMES ITS PRIOR LIES AND FALSE DENIAL OF FCC RULES ALLOWING FOR NON HAMS TO USE HAM FREQUENCIES FOR EMERGENCIES IN CONNECTION WITH IMMEDIATE SAFETY OF HUMAN LIFE AND IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF PROPERTY.	
Exhibit F	RECENT RELEVANT E-MAILS
Pages 4,5,6	8/11/2026 10:48 am ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC / WEBRE)
Pages 1, 2	8/11/2026 09:56 am ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC / WEBRE) SMITH FCC continues to refuse to advise as to which of her 3 rules is to be followed (her 2 made up rules (which don't exist) or actual rule 97.403 (which she did not apply to the Village Fire). SMITH FCC also continues in her refusal to answer the Auto Accident Hypothetical previously answered by FCC Legal Counsel (5 years ago) which found a NON Hams use of Ham Radio "reasonable".
Page 3	8/11/2026 08:11 am ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC)
Pages 3,5	8/11/2026 07: 57 am SMITH FCC e-mail to ZELIFF
Pages 19	8/11/2026 07:47 am ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC)
Page 7	8/11/2026 04:14 am SMITH FCC e-mail to ZELIFF
Pages 7,8	8/10/2026 6:35 pm ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC)
Page 10	8/10/2026 3:34 pm ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC)
Pages 9, 11,12, 14	8/10/2026 3:21 pm ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC / WEBRE REFERING TO ATTACHED ZELIFF LETTER DATED 8/10/2026
Pages 8, 9, 10,11, 13,	8/10/2026 12:51 pm FCC (SMITH FCC) e-mail to ZELIFF
Pages 15 Letter @ 16. 17,18	8/10/2026 12:21 pm ZELIFF e-mail to FCC (SMITH FCC / WEBRE ATTACHING ZELIFF LETTER DATED 8/10/2026
Page 20	7/30/2026 11:37 am ZELIFF e-mail to SMITH FCC
Page 20	7/30/2026 11:20 am SMITH FCC e-mail to ZELIFF
Pages 20,21,22	7/30/2026 02:11 pm ZELIFF e-mail to SMITH FCC
Page 22	7/30/2026 04:03 am SMITH FCC e-mail to ZELIFF
Page 22	7/29/2026 05:16 pm Hollingsworth (ARRL) e-mail to ZELIFF
Page 22	7/29/2026 05:13 pm (12:13 EDT) ZELIFF e-mail to Hollingsworth (ARRL)
Page 22, 23	7/26/2026 06:07 pm ZELIFF e-mail to Hollingsworth (ARRL)
Page 23	7/26/2026 06:02 pm ZELIFF e-mail to Hollingsworth (ARRL) (ZELIFF advises of VILLAGE FIRE since we were in contact)
IN 2022, FCC (LAURA SMITH) REFUSED TO PREVENT INTERFERENCE WITH A HAM REPEATER (Latour – Cal Fire Vault) DEDICATED TO EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS. (e.g., wild fire, evacuations.	
Exhibit G	9/12/ 2023 ZELIFF LT FCC BUREAU CHIEF. (TCW SMITH FCC 12/2022) ... Smith was advised of the malicious and continuing interference on the Shingeltown Emergency Radio plan ham Repeater... and that such has all but destroyed the repeater for emergency wild fire defense and other emergencies... ...Laura Smith (FCC) said not able to help ... said focus of FCC was public safety ("guns and hoses") . Smith advised that Ham Radio is a hobby "even if it helps out in emergencies" and that the FCC wasn't going to assist with some "remote repeater". Smith ignored the Public Safety purpose of Ham Radio in providing emergency communications. Smith further ignored the fact that for the entire state, California went from a plan to remove All Ham Repeaters (approximately 70) from Cal Fire Vaults [because Ham was " no longer the same benefit to State as previously provided"] (Exhibit O, page 11); to "Ham radio is to be part of, wrapped into, the larger CAL FIRE communications network." (Exhibit G, page 1 and 5). This was due in large part to the documented Shingeltown Emergency Radio Plan and activities involving Immediate Safety of Life and Immediate Safety of Property.

In 2020 and 2021 FCC ALSO LIED AND DENIED THE EXISTENCE OF FCC RULES ALLOWING FOR NON HAMs TO USE HAM FREQUENCIES FOR EMERGENCIES (IMMEDIATE SAFETY OF LIFE ...).

FCC LEGAL COUNSEL DISAGREED WITH THE FCC!

Exhibit H	9/14/2021 ZELIFF CONFIRMING LETTER TO Congressman D. LaMalfa. Congressman was subjected to ...“false statements, deceptions, being ignored, evasive tactics and lies by multiple FCC Officials ...” [This concerned the FCC denial during 2020 and 2021 (for over 7 months) of FCC Rules which allowed the use of Ham radio by a non-ham in the event of an emergency” (See Exhibit I, pages 1 and 2 FCC Legal Counsel determined such NON Ham use was “reasonable”); and Exhibit J, pages 6 through 13, legal authority provided as to emergency communications exceptions].
Exhibit I	9/14/2021 ZELIFF CONFIRMING LETTER – FCC Acting Chief Counsel. Advises “YES” to <u>Non Ham</u> using Ham Frequency to save life in an emergency. Legal Counsel uses the standard of “reasonable” under the circumstances. The narrow question was: “Can a non-ham use a ham frequency in order to save human life in an emergency. For illustration, assume a Ham and his wife are driving and have an auto accident. The Ham operator (husband) dies on impact. The wife is going to bleed to death without immediate medical attention, but she is not a licensed Ham operator. The Ham radio is working and she can reach it. The cell phone has no reception. Issue: Can the wife use the Ham radio to call for help? The answer is either: Yes, or No.” FCC LEGAL COUNSEL’S ANSWER WAS: “1. Yes, the use of the Ham Radio under the above circumstances by the unlicensed wife would be reasonable;” Also, it was confirmed: “... that the prior statements made by various persons at the FCC advising that “there is no provisions in the Rules that would allow unlicensed use in the amateur bands”, were erroneous and not correct.” SMITH FCC, NOW 5 years later (2026), refused to answer that EXACT same question that FCC Legal Counsel already answered above and ignores FCC Legal Counsel’s determination. SMITH FCC also ignores the FCC LEGAL COUNSEL confirmation that the prior statements made by various persons at the FCC advising that “there is no provisions in the Rules that would allow unlicensed use in the amateur bands”, were erroneous and not correct.”

Exhibit J	7/27/2021 ZELIFF LETTER – FCC FANS THE FLAMES OF WILD FIRE THREAT IMPERILLING LIFE AND SAFETY. [sent to multiple government officials] SETS FORTH, INTER-ALIA; Due to FCC obfuscation and refusal to acknowledge its own Rules, ZELIFF requested his Congressman to narrow the issue of a Non Ham using Ham Frequencies to a very simple “YES” OR “NO” hypothetical [auto accident]. (Exhibit J, page 2)
Exhibit J	FCC continued obfuscation and administrative deceit during a February, 2021 (Exhibit L) conference call. Legal authority had been provided to FCC, which was ignored by FCC. FCC refused to acknowledge existence of their own FCC regulations and denied that such existed and refused to read them during conference call. (See also Exhibit J, page 3).
Page 5	“FCC has falsely claimed that- ‘simply put ... there is no provision in the Rule that would allow unlicensed use in the amateur bands’ ... ‘FCC provides no legal authority for its false statement ... FCC has refused to discuss the law or its own regulations’”. (Exhibit J, page 5).
Page 6-13	Legal authority is set forth and quoted by ZELIFF detailing Rules, the priority of, and specific exceptions for Emergency Communications. (Exhibit J, pages 6 through 13).
Exhibit K Page 1 Pages 1,2 Page 2	5/28/2021 12:37 ZELIFF –E -MAIL TO Congressman LaMalfa’s office. 5/27/2021 LaMalfa’s staff e-mail to ZELIFF regarding FCC ... “been working on your issue... These guys are just impossible...” 5/26/2021 6:35 pm ZELIFF e-mail to LaMalfa staff
Exhibit L	2/10/2021 ZELIFF e-mail Letter to FCC and Congressman LaMalfa. Regarding upcoming telephone conference between FCC and ZELIFF. WITH LETTER OF 2/10/2021 ATTACHED (TO FCC). [Note: during the telephone conference FCC denied the existence of the FCC Rules cited in this document and refused to even read them...]
Exhibit M	ZELIFF LT FCC SUPERVISOR AND ALSO SENT TO FCC GENERAL COUNSEL. DATED 12/17/2020. ISSUE PRESENTED: ... “was it lawful / permissible for a NON licensed person to communicate on a Ham Radio frequency during an active wild land fire incident to provide essential communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and the immediate protection of property, when there was no Licensed Hams volunteering to assist in the operations of an established Shingletown Emergency Radio FIRE NET activation being conducted on a Ham Repeater ...” October, 2020 FIRE NET. (THIS EVENT WAS THE START OF THE DAR WALKER W6IO AGENDA TO DESTROY THE SER NET. His efforts continue to present. OCTOBER, 2020 FIRE NET INCIDENT (SEE Exhibit A – Fire Net structure): .. two fires, one on each side of Shingletown. - Shingletown already on alert for High Winds, High Fire Danger and - PG&E planned power shut off due to high fire danger. - Internet failures during Fire Net. - PG & E Shuts off Power to Shingletown during Fire Net. Ham, DAR WALKER W6IO (President of Local Ham Club) ABANDONED HIS POST during the Fire Net (WITH 2 FIRES BURNING, ONE ON EACH SIDE OF SHINGLETOWN). DAR WALKER W6IO WAS CAL FIRE MONITOR (CH 20) (I.E., CAL FIRE REDDING DISPATCH) AND WAS TO RELAY REPORTS INTO THE FIRE NET. HE ABANDONED HIS POST TO TALK ON THE TELEPHONE ABOUT SELLING RADIO EQUIPMENT. No other Hams could take the Post Abandoned by DAR WALKER W6IO (all working other posts).

Exhibit M Pages 11,12 Page 13 Pages 14-16	A Non Ham briefly filled the post which DAR WALKER W6IO HAD ABANDONED, and gave a Cal Fire Dispatch Report. Dar Walker later came back, gave a bogus report, and resumed his post. Next Day DAR WALKER W6IO starts destroying the SER PLAN. CLAIMED USE OF NON HAM WAS ILLEGAL. DAR WALKER'S HAM CLUB THEN BOYCOTTED FIRE NETS ... AND THERE WERE NOT ENOUGH HAMS TO OPERATE FIRE NETS. (Exhibit M, page 7, 8 Attachments: - SER Emergency Communication Protocol – Non Hams (12/17/2020) -Confirming Cal Fire Letter – Ham Repeaters staying (not being removed). - Shingletown Residents voice full support for the SER PLAN.
2019 - CALIFORNIA HAM REPEATERS / PLANNED REMOVAL STOPPED. ZELIFF K6PDS WAS THE ONLY ATTORNEY IN CALIFORNIA TO ARGUE AGAINST REMOVAL AND ARRL WAS OF NO HELP. THE EFFORT WAS SUCCESSFUL. REPEATERS REMAINED IN PLACE.	
Exhibit N	ZELIFF CONFIRMING LETTER – CHIEF COUNSEL CAL FIRE. “... By way of update since our last communications, this will confirm your advising me on December 16, 2019: There are zero plans to remove any of the repeaters from CAL FIRE vaults and there will be no rent charges. Ham radio is to be part of, wrapped into, the larger CAL FIRE communications network. ... Ham radio is staying.”... [Note: we went from the planned removal of All Ham Repeaters (approximately 70) from Cal Fire Vaults in California because Ham was “ no longer the same benefit to State as previously provided” (see Exhibit O, page 1); To: “...Ham radio is to be part of, wrapped into, the larger CAL FIRE communications network... Ham radio is staying.” (see Exhibit N) REASON FOR REVERSAL: ZELIFF SET FORTH, INTER-ALIA, THE BENEFIT TO DISASTER EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS AND THE EXTENSIVE EMERGENCCY COMMUNICAETIONS PLAN AND ACTIVITIES OF SHINGLETOWN EMERGENCY RADIO. THE STATE WIDE IMPACT WAS OBVIOUS. THANK YOU CAL FIRE!
Exhibit O Page 11	ZELIFF LETTER OPPOSING REMOVAL OF HAM REPEATERS FROM MOUNTAIN TOPS IN CALIFORNIA (APPROX 70 REPEATERS IMPACTED). DATED 9/23/2019 e-mail – Ham radio “there is no longer the same benefit to State as previously provided” (...and then set forth fees and costs).